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ABSTRACT
Modern communication platforms used in software development host daily conversations among developers and users about a wide range of topics pertaining to software systems, such as language features, APIs, code artifacts like classes and methods, design patterns, usage examples, code reviews, bug reporting and fixing. Discord servers are one of these virtual community hubs that have seen a steep rise in popularity, as coordination and aggregation means for communities of developers. Although Discord supports filter-based search functionalities, the sheer volume, velocity, and small granularity of single messages make it hard to find useful results, let alone complete discussions revolving around particular themes. One reason is that the concept of a discussion, which we call a conversation, does not exist as an explicit concept. We argue that extracting and analyzing such conversations can be used fruitfully to aid program comprehension.

We present an approach that reconstructs the conversations that take place on a software community Discord server, focusing on software-related conversations: Our approach binds the conversations to the discussed artifacts. Leveraging our approach, we built a tool that enables the interactive exploration of the conversations’ contents. We illustrate its usefulness through a number of examples that highlight how the insights obtained serve as an additional form of software documentation and program comprehension aid.
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1 INTRODUCTION
More than half a century ago, McLuhan’s seminal book “Understanding Media” started on the premise “the medium is the message”. A communication medium itself, and not [only] the messages it carries, should be the primary focus of study [15].

Indeed, the very nature of a communication medium shapes the contents it supports. In recent years the many types of media that have been used by software communities have been complemented, if not replaced, by a new one: rich-media instant messaging platforms, such as Slack and Discord. These platforms are high-throughput/high-volatility virtual hubs where developers discuss daily about software. Gitter, Slack, and Discord are currently some of the most used instant messaging platforms, and have also been studied as possible data mining sources for software-related information [7, 11, 14, 19, 22, 24, 26]. They all lack semantic-based searches in the documentation corpora they provide and they offer at most basic functionalities to group messages in semantically coherent chunks, such as Q&A threads. The message granularity level is too fine-grained to efficiently help a developer quickly discriminate between the content of interest and noise. Searching answers for a specific task amounts to defining filtering criteria (e.g., keywords or date intervals), retrieving messages, and manually exploring them one by one. This puts the burden of even finding the limits of the region of interest on the developer, without any form of summary to speed up the discrimination process in order to find what is needed to accomplish a task. Many GitHub projects adopted Discord as the primary communication tool among development team members and their community. Unsurprisingly, concerns about the long-term persistency of information shared on Discord are already emerging:

“I can’t wait for the day Discord starts to cull old content [from their content delivery networks] to save server space and for so much information to just disappear.”

As developers use these platforms to share knowledge and coordinate projects [13], this integral part of the documentation landscape [21] constitutes an important form of crowd-sourced documentation for many languages, frameworks, and software projects in general [8]. Besides preserving history, an imminent need is to access and mine this documentation source. The volatility of information is in the very nature of instant messaging applications, for example, in the fact that only a handful of messages is visible on the screen simultaneously, and that older ones quickly disappear from a user’s point of view. This can be a matter of seconds or minutes in a high-traffic channel. Countering the volatility could help in the fruition of information in real-time and on-demand [23].

We propose an approach to mine knowledge in Discord servers’ crowd-sourced documentation to aid in program comprehension, while providing an extra layer of persistence. We reconstruct conversations and elevate them to first-class concepts. We show how discussed source code artifacts can be analyzed to extract knowledge about them as a form of ad-hoc documentation. We provide a visual representation of conversations that can be leveraged as a form of summarization to gauge important aspects that could play a role in exploration strategies. Finally, we outline the links between source code and the natural language part of a conversation.

---

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

ICPC ’22, May 16–17, 2022, Virtual Event, USA © 2022 Association for Computing Machinery. ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-9298-3/22/05 ...$15.00 https://doi.org/10.1145/3524610.3528388

1See https://knockout.chat/thread/33251/1#post-1176126 [acc. March 30, 2022]
2 BACKGROUND

Discord – Discord is a rich-media instant messaging, Voice over Internet Protocol (i.e., VoIP), and digital distribution platform.

Users can communicate with messages containing text, images, videos, files, embedded links, and emojis. It also supports streaming, voice chat, and video conferencing.

A Discord server is the basic functional unit encapsulating the concept of a community. Users in a Discord server can share messages in text channels and talk in voice channels. Channels are organized into categories. Many software development communities have a public Discord server, with a permanent invite link (i.e., published on the main website or GitHub project page) that allows users to join the server and participate in activities.

Problem – Discord servers can host tens of thousands of users and reach throughputs of several messages per second. Nevertheless, the Discord client for desktop can fit up to twenty one-line messages on an average screen, which drops to just a handful on mobile devices. People can easily miss longer conversations while they are offline: Why and when did a conversation start? Hard to tell at a glance. One needs to scroll to see all messages, and maybe realize that nothing important happened.

Solution – Reconstructing summaries of conversations to show appropriately chunked pieces of information to users. The first step in this direction is to aggregate messages and reconstruct conversations, adding meaningful information about the content (i.e., discussed topics) and its context (e.g., involved authors, conversation length). This higher-level representation can help discriminate conversations of interest and easily overview their messages. It can also be used for archival and retrieval.

Case Study – We demonstrate our approach and present interesting insights that emerged from the analysis of the Pharo Discord server, the main communication hub for daily interactions of the Pharo developer community. It has more than five years of history with ~200k messages and ~1.6k message authors (see Table 1). The server has 67 channels organized into seven categories. The following section presents examples from this server, including conversations on the roassal channel in the LIBRARIES category.

3 CONVERSATIONS

In most instant messaging applications, including Discord, the minimum unit of exchanged information is a message. We group messages that are temporally related to one another into conversations. The boundaries of a conversation can be defined in various ways. In our study, we use inter-message time intervals. Figure 1 shows these intervals on the Pharo Discord server.

![Figure 1: Time intervals between messages in all channels](image)

We use a two hours threshold, retaining 87% of the intervals, to reconstruct quasi-real-time conversations. This provides more context, so a human observer could still disambiguate and manually split without losing potentially related information if needed. The impact of the chosen threshold on the accuracy of recommended conversations about a topic remains to be evaluated.

On the Pharo Discord server, we found 26,306 conversations with an average duration of 49.8 minutes and 7.5 messages (see Table 2). Considering those with more than one message (16,482), we have an average of 11.3 messages per conversation.

Messages Timeline and Conversation Patterns – Conversations can follow one another, they sometimes consist of sporadic isolated messages, exist as short intense bursts or longer discussions, possibly with pauses between bursts. We can see such patterns in Figure 2a, where messages are placed in a 2D space according to their timestamp. Each day is a column in the view from left to right and each row represents an hour of the day. Messages are represented as dots and connected when they fall within the two-hour threshold. It is easy to spot clusters of overlapping messages, which represent quasi real-time conversations, with seconds or minutes between them. When messages are linked over longer distances, they indicate a semi-synchronous interaction, i.e., when the inter-message interval is still below the threshold. Horizontal gaps show inactivity periods.

Linearized Conversations and Message Types – A text message can be a single emoji, a word, or sentences on multiple lines. We represent the conversations as a linear sequence of messages to provide a clearer view of the types that alternate in a typical flow.

In Figure 2b, we show examples of alternation patterns in conversation sequences of varying lengths. 89.5% of messages (176,356) are composed of a single line. This majority of one-line messages is not surprising if we consider the typical interaction mode of Discord. On a newline keystroke (i.e., Return) a message is sent. Only a specific combination (i.e., Shift-Return) generates a multi-line message. While the average conversation length is low (7.5 messages), there are many significant outliers (SD 19.7). For example, the longest conversation counts 532 messages. Four authors help each other with coding exercises and repository management issues.

Table 1: Statistics on the Pharo Discord server

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Snapshot Date</th>
<th>Feb 7 2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity Span</td>
<td>5 years 153 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Sent Messages</td>
<td>197,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Members</td>
<td>3,176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Active Authors</td>
<td>1,568</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Conversations on the Pharo Discord server

| # Conversations | 26,306 |
| Average Conversation Span | 49.8 minutes |
| Average Messages per Conversation | 7.5 |
| Longest Conversation # Messages | 532 |

Footnotes:
1. The Programmer’s Hangout Discord server has more than 110,000 users with ca. 17,000 active users a day. See [https://disboard.org/server/244230771232079873](https://disboard.org/server/244230771232079873) [acc. March 30, 2022].
2. [https://disboard.org](https://disboard.org) [acc. March 30, 2022].
3. See [https://roassal.org](https://roassal.org) [acc. March 30, 2022].
4. Roassal is an agile interactive visualization framework for Pharo [5].
Further work is needed to ensure that conversations in low-traffic channels are not split. For example, timezone differences may result in responses exceeding the given threshold. In high-traffic channels, disentanglement of interleaving messages should provide better accuracy in reconstructing minimal subsets about the same topic [7]. Isolation or longer intervals between messages could indicate questions that did not receive a timely answer. These occurrences should be investigated separately. Our main focus is on (quasi) real-time conversations and the source code they discuss.

4 CONVERSATIONS ABOUT SOURCE CODE

Figure 3 shows an example of a conversation between two authors involving source code (fair usage consent has been explicitly granted by authors whose real names or pictures appear in the following examples). There are 1,485 conversations on the Pharo Discord server about source code artifacts. While this specific example is short, interestingly, the average number of messages per conversation containing code is 29, about four times the overall average (7.5). This indicates more activity around source code in the Pharo Discord server.

To investigate discussions revolving around source code snippets, we developed a custom view that shows the relevant contextual information in a condensed representation. Figure 4 shows a conversation consisting of 19 messages between three authors.

Serge Stinckwich sends a single comment suggesting what could be implemented. The conversation revolves around four code snippets (i.e., green rectangles in the center). We highlighted one to show its content in a tooltip. The discussion focuses on how classes could be used to create charts: RSChart, RSScatterPlot, and RSLinePlot (i.e., outer circle). Various methods are also visible (i.e., inner circle). In particular addPlot: and addDecoration:, two methods of RSChart. The visualization shows how this information can grasp the topics of a conversation. This work should be extended to automate information extraction and provide a compact, meaningful representation, e.g., with text summarization.

How? – We differentiate natural language and source code based on the code blocks in the messages, as they can be marked by single or triple back-ticks, like in Markdown.

In most cases, the language of a code block is specified for the syntax highlighting, and we rely on it to extract the relevant source code elements. Next, we tokenize the extracted code blocks.
In the case of Smalltalk, we do a full parsing to get an abstract syntax tree. For other languages, we implemented a fallback solution based on ANTLR parsers. When we cannot parse the source according to a given grammar, we rely only on the tokens gathered through tokenization. Finally, we use heuristics, for example capitalized first letter, to extract class names from tokens (Figure 5a).

Why? – Our main focus is to aid program comprehension by retrieving conversations about specific language features, APIs, constructs, methods, and classes. Splitting natural language and code allows us to treat the two differently. While for the natural language content we provide word clouds to grasp the most important terms in the conversation (Figure 5b), source code classes and methods are mapped to the relevant conversations. We can retrieve, for example, all the conversations containing code about the RSSVGExporter class and find the conversation in Figure 5 whose main topic is about exporting the Roassal canvas to be used in the web (top-keywords in the word-cloud).

5 RELATED WORK

Mutton and Shihab et al. have investigated IRC as an instant messaging platform, where the only supported medium is text messages [16, 25]. Alkadhi et al. mined Atlassian HipChat [2] and IRC [3] to extract development decisions rationale from developer discussions. Ehsan et al. extracted discussion threads from Gitter [11] and analyzed them for development problems resolution.

Parra et al. manually labeled a dataset of 10,000 Gitter messages from 10 developer communities to foster future research in this field [19]. They analyzed the performances of machine and deep learning algorithms for intent prediction on their dataset [18]. Lin et al. explored the role of Slack in software developer teams [14]. Chatterjee et al. mined and disentangled conversations in Slack [7] providing a curated dataset for further research in this direction [6]. Their approach aimed at comparing the result to Q&A websites like StackOverflow, trying to exploit previous research on these platforms for a different medium. Stray et al. investigated the use of Slack for coordination and communication in agile development teams [27]. Overall, Discord remains unexplored [22].

While most of the related works focus on semantically related discussion threads, our work is more about (quasi)-real-time interactions. Conversations lasting over a day are still meaningful, but they are handled better by asynchronous media (e.g., mailing lists, Q&A websites, forums), which have been extensively studied, for example by Abreu and Premraj [1], Bacchelli et al. [4], Guzzi et al. [12], and Di Sorbo et al. [9] for e-mails, Parnin et al. [17] and Ponzanelli et al. [20] for StackOverflow, and Di Sorbo et al. [10] for mobile app reviews. They dealt with similar issues in extracting information from developer communications. The different velocity, granularity, and features of modern instant messaging platforms require building higher-level, source-independent concepts.

6 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Instant messaging applications are sources with high throughput and volatility. Massive adoption by developer communities makes them precious and fragile containers of ad-hoc crowd-sourced software documentation. Mining and persisting them is fundamental.

In this paper, we reconstructed conversations in Discord as a first class concept, and presented an approach based on word-clouds and source code parsing to deal with their twofold nature. The approach could be adapted to other instant messaging platforms such as Slack and Gitter, e.g., by supporting different APIs for extracting messages and content. There are also limitations in the available history of some communities (e.g., Slack’s free tier limit to the latest 10k messages). Different platforms could provide ways to retrieve more code blocks, even outside triple back-ticks or without explicit syntax highlighting. Nevertheless, we plan to explore regular expressions and machine learning techniques to pre-process messages and identify source code fragments that could be linked to conversations. We also plan to improve disentanglement of conversations. For example, we could reduce the probability of mixing topics and unrelated features in high traffic channels by splitting and merging conversations based on topic similarity.

Overall, the resulting semantic search capabilities of our approach, augmented by the contextualized visual summarization, help in finding conversations of interest about a specific topic. This is the first step towards exploiting the potential of mining Discord for program comprehension.
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