

12/3/2015 Relational Algebra

Lecture Topics

I. Background

II. Operators

- Projection
- Selection
- Cartesian Product
- Union
- Difference

III. Intersection Computability

I. Background

- Foundation for database query language
- SQL is based on relational algebra with some extensions

I.1 Sets and Operations

If A, B, and C are sets

U : union, $A \cup B = \{x | x \in A \vee x \in B\}$

\cap : intersection, $A \cap B = \{x | x \in A \wedge x \in B\}$

- : difference, $A - B = \{x | x \in A \wedge x \notin B\}$

X : Cartesian Product, $A \times B = \{(x, y) | x \in A \wedge y \in B\}$

The above form an algebra, i.e.,

you can perform operations on results of operations, such as $(A \cap B) \times (C \times A)$

I.2 Relations

Relations are sets of tuples

tuples have a domain

assume domain is linearly ordered

i.e., $x < y$, $x = y$, or $y < x$

- order of rows does not matter
- does not matter if there are duplicate rows

- Note! in set theory, there is one empty set.

- We will assume a different empty relation for each relation schema



I.3 R.A. vs. SQL

- R.A. does not support: Primary keys, foreign keys, inserts, deletes, updates, indexing, recovery, security, etc.
- only support for querying.
- does not care about efficiency

II Operations on Relations

- Any operation on relations produces a relation.

II.1 Projection

R	A	B	C	D

$\pi_{A,B}(R)$

Select A, B
FROM R

A	B

column selection

12/3/2015 Relational Algebra Pg. 2

II.2 Selection

R	A	B	C	D
1	a	x	z	s
2	b	y	c	s
3	a	c	s	s

$\sigma_{A < 2}(R)$

SELECT *
FROM R
WHERE A < 2

Row
selection

R	A	B	C	D
1	a	x	z	s

The condition (predicate) can be specified by a boolean formula
 \neg, \wedge, \vee on atomic conditions

atomic conditions are:

- a comparison between columns
- a comparison between column and constant

II.3 Cartesian Product

R	A	B	S	C	B	D
1	10	40	10	10	10	
2	20	50	20	20	20	

R x S

SELECT A, R.B, C, S.B, D
FROM R, S

	A	R.B	C	S.B	D
1	10	40	10	10	
1	10	50	20	20	
2	20	40	10	10	
2	20	50	20	20	

- useful to correlate information from two tables

II.4 Union

R	A	B
1	10	
2	20	

S	A	B
1	10	
3	20	

R \cup S

SELECT *
FROM R
UNION
SELECT *
FROM S

A	B
1	10
2	20
3	20

MUST BE union compatible

- require sets of same-arity relations
- columns should "probably" be from the same domains.

II.5 Difference

R	A	B
1	10	
2	20	

S	A	B
1	10	
3	20	

R - S

SELECT *
FROM R
MINUS
SELECT *
FROM S

A	B
2	20

- EXCEPT sometimes instead of MINUS
- MUST be union compatible

II.6 Intersection

R	A	B
	1	10
	2	20

S	A	B
	1	10
	3	20

$R \cap S$

SELECT *
FROM R
INTERSECT
SELECT *
FROM S



- Union computability required
 - NOT FUNDAMENTAL
- $R \cap S = R - (R - S)$

III Computability

- Given:
 - Person (Name, Sex, Age)
 - Birth (Parent, child)
- Produce:
 - Answer (Father, Daughter)

Strategy:
Need two distinct copies of 'Person'

SELECT P AS FATHER, C AS DAUGHTER
FROM PERSON, BIRTH, PERSON AS PERSON1
WHERE P = PERSON.N AND C = PERSON1.N
AND PERSON.S = 'M' AND PERSON1.S = 'F'

- Produce: Answer (GRANDPARENT, GRANDCHILD)

- (GREAT-GRANDPARENT, GREAT-GRANDCHILD)
- Cartesian product of (grandparent, grandchild) with (Parent, child), equality on the intermediate person.

- (GREAT* - parent, GREAT* - CHILD)?
- (Ancestor, Descendant)?

↳ Not possible in relational algebra

Proof sketch: (by induction)

- Any R.A. query is limited in how many relations, or copy of relations, it can refer to
- Computing arbitrary (Ancestor, Descendant) pairs can't be done if the query is limited by the number of relations or copies of relations it can refer to

Another Example!

- Can't compute transitive closure with R.A.
- you can with SQL 1999 standard

Example Schema:

