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- A more challenging example: How many times does the string " 110011 " appear in the following text
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■ Problem: find all s such that

- $0 \leq s \leq n-m$
- $T[s+i]=P[i]$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$


$P \xrightarrow{s=4}$| a | b | a |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

- Result
$s=4$

■ Problem: find all $s$ such that

- $0 \leq s \leq n-m$
- $T[s+i]=P[i]$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$

- Result

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=4 \\
& s=7
\end{aligned}
$$

■ Problem: find all $s$ such that

- $0 \leq s \leq n-m$
- $T[s+i]=P[i]$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$

- Result

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=4 \\
& s=7 \\
& s=9
\end{aligned}
$$
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■ For each position $s$ in $0 \ldots n-m$, see if $T[s+i]=P[i]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$

```
Naive-String-Matching \((T, P)\)
\(1 \quad n=\) length \((T)\)
\(2 m=\) length \((P)\)
3 for \(s=0\) to \(n-m\)
4 if Substring-At \((T, P, s)\)
5 OUTPUT(s)
```

Substring-At $(T, P, s)$
1 for $i=1$ to length $(P)$
2 if $T[s+i] \neq P[i]$
3 return FALSE
4 return TRUE
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## Complexity of the Naïve Algorithm

■ Complexity of Naive-String-Match is $O((n-m+1) m)$
■ Worst case example

$$
T=a^{n}, \quad P=a^{m}
$$

i.e.,

$$
T=\overbrace{a \mathrm{a} \cdots \mathrm{a}}^{n}, \quad P=\overbrace{a \mathrm{a} \cdots \mathrm{a}}^{m}
$$

So, $(n-m+1) m$ is a tight bound, so the (worst-case) complexity of Naive-String-Match is

$$
\Theta((n-m+1) m)
$$
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| $T$ | a | b | C | a | a |  | b | a | a | b | a | b | a | C | a |
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■ What now?

- the naïve algorithm goes back to the second position in $T$ and starts from the beginning of $P$
- can't we simply move along through $T$ ?
- why?
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- Here's a wrong but insightful strategy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { WRONG-StRING-MATCHING }(T, P) \\
& \begin{array}{rl}
1 & n=\text { length }(T) \\
2 & m=\text { length }(P) \\
3 & q=0 \\
4 & s=1 \\
5 & \text { while } s \leq n \\
6 & s=s+1 \\
7 & \text { if } T[s]==P[q+1] \\
8 & q=q+1 \\
9 & \text { if } q==m \\
10 & \text { OUTPUT }(s-m) \\
11 & q=0 \\
12 & \text { else } q=0
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$
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- Complexity: $\Theta(n)$
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$$
q+1
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■ Wrong: by going all the way back to $q=0$ we throw away a good prefix of $P$ that we already matched
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■ Find the longest prefix of $P$ that is also a suffix of $P[2 \ldots q]$

- i.e., find $0 \leq \pi<q$ such that $P[q-\pi+1 \ldots q]=P[1 \ldots \pi]$
- $\pi=0$ means that such a prefix does not exist

- Restart from $q=\pi$

■ Iterate as usual

■ In essence, this is the Knuth-Morris-Pratt algorithm
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## The Prefix Function

■ Given a pattern prefix $P[1 \ldots q]$, the longest prefix of $P$ that is also a suffix of $P[2 \ldots q]$ depends only on $P$ and $q$
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■ Because $\pi(q)$ depends only on $P$ (and $q$ ), $\pi$ can be computed at the beginning by Prefix-Function

- we represent $\pi$ as an array of length $m$

■ Example

| a | b | a | b | a | c |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


$\pi$| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## The Knuth-Morris-Pratt Algorithm

```
KMP-String-Matching \((T, P)\)
    \(1 \quad n=\) length \((T)\)
    \(2 m=\) length \((P)\)
    \(3 \pi=\) Prefix-Function \((P)\)
    \(4 \quad\) \(9=0 \quad / /\) number of character matched
    5 for \(i=1\) to \(n \quad / /\) scan the text left-to-right
    \(6 \quad\) while \(q>0\) and \(P[q+1] \neq T[i]\)
    \(7 \quad q=\pi[q] \quad / /\) no match: go back using \(\pi\)
    8 if \(P[q+1]==T[i]\)
    \(9 \quad q=q+1\)
10 if \(q==m\)
11 OUTPUT \((i-m)\)
\(12 \quad q=\pi[q] \quad / /\) go back for the next match
```
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## Prefix Function Algorithm

- Computing the prefix function amounts to finding all the occurrences of a pattern $P$ in itself

■ In fact, Prefix-Function is remarkably similar to KMP-String-Matching

```
Prefix-Function \((P)\)
\(1 \quad m=\) length \((P)\)
\(2 \pi[1]=0\)
    \(k=0\)
    4 for \(q=2\) to \(m\)
\(5 \quad\) while \(k>0\) and \(P[k+1] \neq P[q]\)
\(6 \quad k=\pi[k]\)
7 if \(P[k+1]==P[q]\)
\(8 \quad k=k+1\)
\(9 \pi[q]=k\)
```
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Prefix-Function }(P) \\
& 1 m=\text { length }(P) \\
& 2 \pi[1]=0 \\
& 3 k=0 \\
& 4 \text { for } q=2 \text { to } m \\
& 5 \quad \text { while } k>0 \text { and } P[k+1] \neq P[q] \\
& \begin{array}{c}
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## Prefix-Function $(P)$

$1 m=$ length $(P)$
$2 \pi[1]=0$
$3 k=0$
4 for $q=2$ to $m$
$5 \quad$ while $k>0$ and $P[k+1] \neq P[q]$
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$$
\begin{gathered}
k=\pi[k] \\
\text { if } P[k+1]==P[q] \\
k=k+1 \\
\pi[q]=k
\end{gathered}
$$

## Prefix Function at Work
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■ $O(m)$ for the pre-processing of the pattern

- The complexity analysis is non-trivial

■ Can we do better?

## Comments on KMP

- Knuth-Morris-Pratt is $\Omega(n)$
- KMP will always go through at least $n$ character comparisons
- it fixes our "wrong" algorithm in the case of periodic patterns and texts


## Comments on KMP

- Knuth-Morris-Pratt is $\Omega(n)$
- KMP will always go through at least $n$ character comparisons
- it fixes our "wrong" algorithm in the case of periodic patterns and texts

■ Perhaps there's another algorithm that works better on the average case

- e.g., in the absence of periodic patterns
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■ We match the pattern right-to-left
■ If we find a bad character $\alpha$ in the text, we can shift
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■ In essence, this is the Boyer-Moore algorithm
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## Comments on Boyer-Moore

■ Like KMP, Boyer-Moore includes a pre-processing phase

- The pre-processing is $O(\mathrm{~m})$
- The search phase is $O(n m)$

■ The search phase can be as low as $O(n / m)$ in common cases

■ In practice, Boyer-Moore is the fastest string-matching algorithm for most applications

