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## Recap on MST Algorithms

$\square$ Find the MST of $G=(V, E)$ with $w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

- find a $T \subseteq E$ that is a minimum-weight spanning tree
- We naturally decompose the problem in a series of choices
- at each point we have a partial solution $A \subseteq T$
- we have a number of choices on how to extend $A$
- we make a "greedy" choice by selecting the lightest edge that does not violate the constraints of the MST problem

```
Generic-MST(G, w)
\(1 A=\varnothing\)
while \(A\) is not a spanning tree
    find a safe edge \(e=(u, v) / /\) the lightest that...
    \(A=A \cup\{e\}\)
```
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2. Prove that there is always a solution to the original problem that contains the greedy choice we make
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3. Prove that the remaining subproblem is such that

- combining the greedy choice with the optimal solution of the subproblem gives an optimal solution to the original problem
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- not considering the results of the subproblems
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■ It is natural to prove this by induction

- if the solution to the subproblem is optimal, then combining the greedy choice with that solution yields an optimal solution

Example

- The absolutely trivial gift-selection problem

■ The absolutely trivial gift-selection problem

- out of a set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of valuable objects, where $v\left(x_{i}\right)$ is the value of $x_{i}$

■ The absolutely trivial gift-selection problem

- out of a set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of valuable objects, where $v\left(x_{i}\right)$ is the value of $x_{i}$
- you will be given, as a gift, $k$ objects of your choice

■ The absolutely trivial gift-selection problem

- out of a set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of valuable objects, where $v\left(x_{i}\right)$ is the value of $x_{i}$
- you will be given, as a gift, $k$ objects of your choice
- how do you maximize the total value of your gifts?

■ The absolutely trivial gift-selection problem

- out of a set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of valuable objects, where $v\left(x_{i}\right)$ is the value of $x_{i}$
- you will be given, as a gift, $k$ objects of your choice
- how do you maximize the total value of your gifts?
- Decomposition: choice plus subproblem

■ The absolutely trivial gift-selection problem

- out of a set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of valuable objects, where $v\left(x_{i}\right)$ is the value of $x_{i}$
- you will be given, as a gift, $k$ objects of your choice
- how do you maximize the total value of your gifts?
- Decomposition: choice plus subproblem
- greedy choice: pick $x_{i}$ such that $v\left(x_{i}\right)=\max _{x \in X} v(x)$
- subproblem: $X^{\prime}=X-\left\{x_{i}\right\}, k^{\prime}=k-1$ (same value function $v$ )

■ The absolutely trivial gift-selection problem

- out of a set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of valuable objects, where $v\left(x_{i}\right)$ is the value of $x_{i}$
- you will be given, as a gift, $k$ objects of your choice
- how do you maximize the total value of your gifts?
- Decomposition: choice plus subproblem
- greedy choice: pick $x_{i}$ such that $v\left(x_{i}\right)=\max _{x \in X} v(x)$
- subproblem: $X^{\prime}=X-\left\{x_{i}\right\}, k^{\prime}=k-1$ (same value function $v$ )
- Greedy-choice property

■ The absolutely trivial gift-selection problem

- out of a set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of valuable objects, where $v\left(x_{i}\right)$ is the value of $x_{i}$
- you will be given, as a gift, $k$ objects of your choice
- how do you maximize the total value of your gifts?

■ Decomposition: choice plus subproblem

- greedy choice: pick $x_{i}$ such that $v\left(x_{i}\right)=\max _{x \in X} v(x)$
- subproblem: $X^{\prime}=X-\left\{x_{i}\right\}, k^{\prime}=k-1$ (same value function $v$ )
- Greedy-choice property
- if $v\left(x_{i}\right)=\max _{x \in X} v(x)$, then there is a globally optimal solution $A$ that contains $x_{i}$

■ The absolutely trivial gift-selection problem

- out of a set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of valuable objects, where $v\left(x_{i}\right)$ is the value of $x_{i}$
- you will be given, as a gift, $k$ objects of your choice
- how do you maximize the total value of your gifts?
- Decomposition: choice plus subproblem
- greedy choice: pick $x_{i}$ such that $v\left(x_{i}\right)=\max _{x \in X} v(x)$
- subproblem: $X^{\prime}=X-\left\{x_{i}\right\}, k^{\prime}=k-1$ (same value function $v$ )
- Greedy-choice property
- if $v\left(x_{i}\right)=\max _{x \in X} v(x)$, then there is a globally optimal solution $A$ that contains $x_{i}$

■ Optimal-substructure property

■ The absolutely trivial gift-selection problem

- out of a set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of valuable objects, where $v\left(x_{i}\right)$ is the value of $x_{i}$
- you will be given, as a gift, $k$ objects of your choice
- how do you maximize the total value of your gifts?
- Decomposition: choice plus subproblem
- greedy choice: pick $x_{i}$ such that $v\left(x_{i}\right)=\max _{x \in X} v(x)$
- subproblem: $X^{\prime}=X-\left\{x_{i}\right\}, k^{\prime}=k-1$ (same value function $v$ )
- Greedy-choice property
- if $v\left(x_{i}\right)=\max _{x \in X} v(x)$, then there is a globally optimal solution $A$ that contains $x_{i}$

■ Optimal-substructure property

- if $v\left(x_{i}\right)=\max _{x \in X} v(x)$ and $A^{\prime}$ is an optimal solution for $X^{\prime}=X-\left\{x_{i}\right\}$, then $A^{\prime} \subset A$

■ Inventing a greedy algorithm is easy
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■ Inventing a greedy algorithm is easy

- it is easy to come up with greedy choices

■ Proving it optimal may be difficult

- requires deep understanding of the structure of the problem
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## Making Change

■ My favorite pasta lunch typically costs Fr. 15.20; I usually pay with a Fr. 20 bill, and get Fr. 4.80 of change
Question: how can I get the least amount of coins?
(Available denominations: $5,2,1,0.5,0.2,0.1$ )
Solution: $2 \times 2+0.5+0.2+0.1=4.8 \quad(5$ coins/bills)
■ Is this a greedy problem?
■ Suppose you are in the US and need to make $\$ 4.80$ of change; available denominations are $\$ 5, \$ 1, \$ 0.25, \$ 0.1$, and $\$ .01$ (you are out of "nickels")

Greedy: $4 \times 1+3 \times 0.25+5 \times 0.01=4.8$
(12 coins/bills)
Optimal: $4 \times 1+2 \times 0.25+3 \times 0.1=4.8 \quad$ ( 9 coins/bills)
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■ Exercise: 1. formulate a reasonable greedy choice
2. prove that it doesn't work with a counter-example
3. go back to (1) and repeat a couple of times
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## Fractional Knapsack Problem

- A thief robbing a store finds $n$ items
- $v_{i}$ is the value of item $i$
- $w_{i}$ is the weight of item $i$
- $W$ is the maximum weight that the thief can carry
- the thief may take any fraction of an item (with the corresponding proportional value)

Problem: choose which items, or fractions of items to take to maximize the total value of the robbery

■ Is this a greedy problem?
■ Exercise: prove that it is a greedy problem
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■ A conference room is shared among different activities

- $S=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ is the set of proposed activities
- activity $a_{i}$ has a start time $s_{i}$ and a finish time $f_{i}$
- activities $a_{i}$ and $a_{j}$ are compatible if either $f_{i} \leq s_{j}$ or $f_{j} \leq s_{i}$

Problem: find the largest set of compatible activities
■ Example

| activity | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ | $h$ | $i$ | $j$ | $k$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| start | 8 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 12 | 6 | 8 |
| finish | 12 | 6 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 14 | 10 | 11 |

■ Is there a greedy solution for this problem?
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## Activity Selection is a Greedy Problem (2)

■ Optimal-substructure property: having chosen $a_{x}$, let $S^{\prime} \subset S$ be the set of activities compatible with $a_{x}$, that is, $S^{\prime}=\left\{a_{i} \mid s_{i} \geq f_{x}\right\}$

Prove: $O P T^{*}=\left\{a_{x}\right\} \cup O P T^{\prime}$ is optimal for $S$ if $O P T^{\prime}$ is optimal for $S^{\prime}$
Proof: (by contradiction)

- assume to the contrary that $\left|O P T^{*}\right|<|O P T|$, and therefore $\left|O P T^{\prime}\right|<|O P T|-1$
- let $a_{m}$ be the earliest-finish activity in OPT, and let $\bar{S}=\left\{a_{i} \mid s_{i} \geq f_{m}\right\}$
- by construction, OPT $\backslash\left\{a_{m}\right\}$ is a solution for $\bar{S}$
- by construction, $\bar{S} \subseteq S^{\prime}$, so $O P T \backslash\left\{a_{m}\right\}$ is a solution also for $S^{\prime}$
- which means that there is a solution $S^{\prime}$ of size $|O P T|-1$, which contradicts the main assumption that $\left|O P T^{\prime}\right|<|O P T|-1$
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■ Suppose you have a large sequence $S$ of the six characters: 'a', 'b', 'c', 'd', 'e', and 'f'

- e.g., $n=|S|=10^{9}$

■ What is the most efficient way to store that sequence?
■ First approach: compact fixed-width encoding

- 6 symbols require 3 bits per symbol
- $3 \times 10^{9} / 8=3.75 \times 10^{8}$ (a bit less than 400 Mb )
- Can we do better?

Huffman Coding (2)

- Consider the following encoding table:

| symbol | code |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | 000 |
| b | 001 |
| c | 010 |
| d | 011 |
| e | 100 |
| f | 101 |

## Huffman Coding (2)

■ Consider the following encoding table:

| symbol | code |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | 000 |
| b | 001 |
| c | 010 |
| d | 011 |
| e | 100 |
| f | 101 |

■ Observation: the encoding of ' $e$ ' and ' $f$ ' is a bit redundant

- the second bit does not help us in distinguishing 'e' from ' $f$ '
- in other words, if the first (most significant) bit is 1, then the second bit gives us no information, so it can be removed

Idea

■ Variable-length code

| symbol | code |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | 000 |
| b | 001 |
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■ Given the frequencies $f_{a}, f_{b}, f_{c}, \ldots$ of all the symbols in $S$

$$
M=3 n\left(f_{a}+f_{b}+f_{c}+f_{d}\right)+2 n\left(f_{e}+f_{f}\right)
$$
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- Given a set of symbols $C$ and a frequency function $f: C \rightarrow[0,1]$
- Find a code $E: C \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{*}$ such that
- $E$ is a prefix code
- no codeword $E\left(c_{1}\right)$ is the prefix of another codeword $E\left(c_{2}\right)$

■ The average codeword size

$$
B(S)=\sum_{c \in C} f(c)|E(c)|
$$

is minimal
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| sym. | freq. | code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | $45 \%$ | 000 |
| b | $13 \%$ | 001 |
| c | $12 \%$ | 010 |
| d | $16 \%$ | 011 |
| e | $9 \%$ | 10 |
| f | $5 \%$ | 11 |

■ $E: C \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{*}$ defines binary strings, so we can represent $E$ as a binary tree $T$

| sym. | freq. | code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | $45 \%$ | 000 |
| b | $13 \%$ | 001 |
| c | $12 \%$ | 010 |
| d | $16 \%$ | 011 |
| e | $9 \%$ | 10 |
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- leaves represent symbols; internal nodes are prefixes
- the code of a symbol $c$ is the path from the root to $c$
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- leaves represent symbols; internal nodes are prefixes
- the code of a symbol $c$ is the path from the root to $c$
- the weight $f(v)$ of a node $v$ is the frequency of its code/prefix

$$
B(S)=n \sum_{c \in \operatorname{leaves}(T)} f(c) \operatorname{depth}(c)=n \sum_{v \in T} f(v)
$$

| Huffman ( $C$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $n=\|C\|$ |
| 2 | $Q=C$ |
| 3 | for $i=1$ to $n-1$ |
| 4 | create a new node $z$ |
| 5 | z. left = Extract-Min(Q) |
| 6 | z.right = Extract-Min(Q) |
| 7 | $f(z)=f(z . l e f t)+f(z . r i g h t)$ |
| 8 | Insert( $Q, z$ ) |
|  | return Extract-Min(Q) |

```
Huffman (C)
\(1 n=|C|\)
\(2 \quad Q=C\)
3 for \(i=1\) to \(n-1\)
\(4 \quad\) create a new node \(z\)
\(5 \quad\) z.left = Extract-Min(Q)
\(6 \quad\) z.right \(=\) Extract-Min(Q)
\(7 \quad f(z)=f(z . l e f t)+f(z\). right \()\)
\(8 \quad\) Insert \((Q, z)\)
9 return Extract-Min(Q)
```
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■ We build the code bottom-up
■ Each time we make the "greedy" choice of merging the two least frequent nodes (symbols or prefixes)

```
Huffman (C)
\(1 n=|C|\)
    \(Q=C\)
    for \(i=1\) to \(n-1\)
        create a new node \(z\)
        z. left = Extract-Min(Q)
        z. right = Extract-Min(Q)
        \(f(z)=f(z\). left \()+f(z\). right \()\)
        Insert \((Q, z)\)
    return Extract-Min(Q)
```
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| sym. | freq. | code |
| :---: | ---: | :--- |
| a | $45 \%$ |  |
| b | $13 \%$ |  |
| c | $12 \%$ |  |
| d | $16 \%$ |  |
| e | $9 \%$ |  |
| f | $5 \%$ |  |


| $\mathrm{a}: 45$ | $\mathrm{~b}: 13$ | $\mathrm{c}: 12$ | $\mathrm{~d}: 16$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{e}: 9$ | $\mathrm{f}: 5$ |  |  |
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| :---: | ---: | :--- |
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## Huffman (C)

$\begin{array}{ll}1 & n=|C| \\ 2 & Q=C\end{array}$
3 for $i=1$ to $n-1$
create a new node $z$
z. left = Extract-Min(Q)
z. right $=$ Extract-Min(Q) $f(z)=f(z$. left $)+f(z$. right $)$ Insert $(Q, z)$

| sym. | freq. | code |
| :---: | ---: | :--- |
| a | $45 \%$ | 0 |
| b | $13 \%$ | 100 |
| c | $12 \%$ | 101 |
| d | $16 \%$ | 110 |
| e | $9 \%$ | 1110 |
| f | $5 \%$ | 1111 |

9 return Extract-Min(Q)


