Divide-and-Conquer Algorithms

Antonio Carzaniga

Faculty of Informatics Università della Svizzera italiana

March 25, 2021

Outline

- Merging (or set union)
- Searching
- Sorting
- Multiplying
- Computing the *median*

■ Input: sequences $A = \langle a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \rangle$ and $B = \langle b_1, b_2, ..., b_m \rangle$ Output: a sequence $X = \langle x_1, x_2, ..., x_\ell \rangle$ such that

Input: sequences $A = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ and $B = \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \rangle$

Output: a sequence $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\ell \rangle$ such that

- every element of A appears once in X
- every element of B appears once in X
- every element of *X* appears in *A* or in *B* or in both

Input: sequences $A = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ and $B = \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \rangle$

Output: a sequence $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\ell \rangle$ such that

- every element of A appears once in X
- every element of B appears once in X
- every element of *X* appears in *A* or in *B* or in both

Example:

 $A = \langle 34, 7, 11, 31, 14, 51, 8, 21, 10 \rangle$ $B = \langle 51, 21, 14, 15, 27, 31, 2 \rangle$

X =

Input: sequences $A = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ and $B = \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \rangle$

Output: a sequence $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\ell \rangle$ such that

- every element of A appears once in X
- every element of B appears once in X
- every element of *X* appears in *A* or in *B* or in both

Example:

$$A = \langle 34, 7, 11, 31, 14, 51, 8, 21, 10 \rangle$$

 $B = \langle 51, 21, 14, 15, 27, 31, 2 \rangle$

 $X = \langle 34, 7, 11, 31, 14, 51, 8, 21, 10, 15, 27, 2 \rangle$

A Simple Merge Algorithm

Algorithm strategy

A Simple Merge Algorithm

Algorithm strategy

- iterate through every position *i*, first through *A*, and then *B*
- output a_i if a_i is not in $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{i-1} \rangle$
- output b_i if b_i is not in $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{i-1} \rangle$

A Simple Merge Algorithm

Algorithm strategy

- iterate through every position *i*, first through *A*, and then *B*
- output a_i if a_i is not in $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{i-1} \rangle$
- output b_i if b_i is not in $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{i-1} \rangle$

Complexity

Complexity

let n = length(A) + length(B)

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{length(A)} T_{\text{Find}}(i) + \sum_{i=1}^{length(B)} \left(T_{\text{Find}}(i) + T_{\text{Find}}(length(A)) \right)$$

Complexity

let n = length(A) + length(B)

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{length(A)} T_{\text{Find}}(i) + \sum_{i=1}^{length(B)} \left(T_{\text{Find}}(i) + T_{\text{Find}}(length(A)) \right)$$
$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{\text{Find}}(i)$$

Input: a sequence A and a value key
 Output: true if A contains key, or false otherwise

Input: a sequence A and a value key
 Output: true if A contains key, or false otherwise

Find(A, key)
1 for i = 1 to length(A)
2 if A[i] == key
3 return true
4 return false

Find(A, begin, end, key)
1 for i = begin to end
2 if A[i] == key
3 return true
4 return false

Input: a sequence A and a value key Output: true if A contains key, or false otherwise

```
Find(A, key)
1 for i = 1 to length(A)
2 if A[i] == key
3 return true
4 return false
```

Find(A, begin, end, key)
1 for i = begin to end
2 if A[i] == key
3 return true
4 return false

■ The complexity of **Find** is

Input: a sequence A and a value key
 Output: true if A contains key, or false otherwise

Find(A, key)
1 for i = 1 to length(A)
2 if A[i] == key
3 return true
4 return false

Find(A, begin, end, key)
1 for i = begin to end
2 if A[i] == key
3 return true
4 return false

The complexity of **Find** is

T(n) = O(n)

Input: a sequence A and a value key Output: true if A contains key, or false otherwise

Input: a sequence A and a value key
 Output: true if A contains key, or false otherwise

FindInList(A, key) item = first(A)2 while $item \neq last(A)$ if value(item) == keyreturn trueitem = next(item)return false

Input: a sequence A and a value key
 Output: true if A contains key, or false otherwise

FindInList(A, key) item = first(A)2 while $item \neq last(A)$ if value(item) == keyreturn trueitem = next(item)return false

The complexity of FindInList is

Input: a sequence A and a value key
 Output: true if A contains key, or false otherwise

FindInList(A, key) item = first(A)2 while $item \neq last(A)$ if value(item) == keyreturn trueitem = next(item)return false

The complexity of **FindInList** is

T(n) = O(n)



$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{\text{Find}}(i)$$



$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{\text{Find}}(i)$$
$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} O(i) =$$



$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{\text{Find}}(i)$$
$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} O(i) = O\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right) =$$



$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{\text{Find}}(i)$$
$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} O(i) = O\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right) = O(n^2)$$

Searching (2)

Input: a sorted sequence A and a value key
 Output: true if A contains key, or false otherwise

Searching (2)

Input: a sorted sequence A and a value key
 Output: true if A contains key, or false otherwise

```
BinarySearch(A, key)
     first = 1
 2 last = length(A)
 3 while first < last
 4
          middle = \left[ (first + last)/2 \right]
 5
          if A[middle] == key
 6
               return true
 7
          elseif first = last
 8
               return false
 9
          elseif A[middle] > key
10
               last = middle - 1
11
          else first = middle + 1
12 return false
```

BinarySearch(*A*, *key*)

1	first = 1
2	last = length(A)
3	while first \leq last
4	$middle = \lceil (first + last)/2 \rceil$
5	if A[middle] == key
6	return true
7	elseif first = last
8	return false
9	elseif A[middle] > key
10	<i>last</i> = <i>middle</i> – 1
11	else first = middle + 1
12	return false

Bin	BinarySearch(A, key)		
1	first = 1		
2	last = length(A)		
3	while first \leq last		
4	$middle = \lceil (first + last)/2 \rceil$		
5	if A[middle] == key		
6	return true		
7	elseif first = last		
8	return false		
9	elseif A[middle] > key		
10	<i>last</i> = <i>middle</i> – 1		
11	else first = middle + 1		
12	return false		

15 14	
13	
11	L cou c
10 9	кеу
8	
6	
5	
4 3	
2	
1	

Bina	arySearch(<i>A</i> , <i>key</i>)
1	first = 1
2	last = length(A)
3	while first \leq last
4	$middle = \lceil (first + last)/2 \rceil$
5	if A[middle] == key
6	return true
7	elseif first = last
8	return false
9	elseif A[middle] > key
10	last = middle – 1
11	else first = middle + 1
12	return false











Bin	BinarySearch(A, key)		
1	first = 1		
2	last = length(A)		
3	while first \leq last		
4	$middle = \lceil (first + last)/2 \rceil$		
5	if A[middle] == key		
6	return true		
7	elseif first = last		
8	return false		
9	elseif A[middle] > key		
10	<i>last</i> = <i>middle</i> – 1		
11	else first = middle + 1		
12	return false		

ы












BinarySearch(*A*, *key*) first = 11 2 last = length(A)3 while first \leq last middle = [(first + last)/2]4 **if** *A*[*middle*] == *key* 5 6 return true 7 **elseif** first = last 8 return false 9 elseif A[middle] > key 10 last = middle - 111 else first = middle + 1 12 return false















 $T(n) = O(\log n)$



Merging Sorted Sequences

A slightly different problem:

Input: two *sorted* sequences $A = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ and $B = \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \rangle$, where $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ and $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_m$

Output: a sequence $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\ell \rangle$ such that

- every element of A appears once in X
- every element of B appears once in X
- every element of *X* appears in *A* or in *B* or in both



$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} O(\log i) =$$



$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} O(\log i) = O(n \log n)$$



$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} O(\log i) = O(n \log n)$$

Better than $O(n^2)$, but can we do even better than $O(n \log n)$?

An Even Better Merge Algorithm

- *Intuition: A* and *B* are *sorted* e.g.
 - $A = \langle 3, 7, 12, 13, 34, 37, 70, 75, 80 \rangle$
 - $B = \langle 1, 5, 6, 7, 34, 35, 40, 41, 43 \rangle$

An Even Better Merge Algorithm

- Intuition: A and B are sorted e.g.
 - $A = \langle 3, 7, 12, 13, 34, 37, 70, 75, 80 \rangle$
 - $B = \langle 1, 5, 6, 7, 34, 35, 40, 41, 43 \rangle$

so just like in **BinarySearch** I can avoid looking for an element x if the *first* element I see is y > x

An Even Better Merge Algorithm

- Intuition: A and B are sorted e.g.
 - $A = \langle 3, 7, 12, 13, 34, 37, 70, 75, 80 \rangle$
 - $B = \langle 1, 5, 6, 7, 34, 35, 40, 41, 43 \rangle$

so just like in **BinarySearch** I can avoid looking for an element x if the *first* element I see is y > x

High-level algorithm strategy

- step through every position *i* of *A* and every position *j* of *B*
- output a_i and advance *i* if $a_i \le b_j$ or if *j* is beyond the end of *B*
- output b_j and advance j if $a_i \ge b_j$ or if i is beyond the end of A

А	3	7	12	13	34	37	70	75	80
---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

В	1	5	6	7	34	35	40	41	43
---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----











B
 1
 5
 6
 7
 34
 35
 40
 41
 43

$$j = 2$$
 1



B
 1
 5
 6
 7
 34
 35
 40
 41
 43

$$j = 2$$
 1



B
 1
 5
 6
 7
 34
 35
 40
 41
 43

$$j = 2$$
 1





Output: 1 3





Output: 1 3 5





Output: 1 3 5





Output: 1 3 5 6





Output: 1 3 5 6



j = 5

Output: 1 3 5 6 7

В	1	5	6	7	34	35	40	41	43
<i>j</i> = 5					1				

Output: 1 3 5 6 7



Output: 1 3 5 6 7 12



Output: 1 3 5 6 7 12



Output: 1 3 5 6 7 12 13



Output: 1 3 5 6 7 12 13...

Merge Algorithm (2)

```
Merge(A, B)
 1 i, j = 1
 2 X = \emptyset
 3
    while i \leq length(A) or j \leq length(B)
 4
         if i > length(A)
 5
               X = X \circ B[j]  # appends B[j] to X
 6
7
              i = i + 1
          elseif j > length(B)
 8
9
               X = X \circ A[i]
               i = i + 1
    elseif A[i] < B[j]
10
11
               X = X \circ A[i]
12
               i = i + 1
13
    else X = X \circ B[i]
14
             i = i + 1
15
    return X
```

Merge Algorithm (2)

```
Merge(A, B)
 1 i, j = 1
 2 X = \emptyset
 3
    while i \leq length(A) or j \leq length(B)
 4
          if i > length(A)
 5
               X = X \circ B[j]  I appends B[j] to X
 6
7
              i = i + 1
          elseif j > length(B)
 8
              X = X \circ A[i]
 9
               i = i + 1
10
    elseif A[i] < B[i]
11
              X = X \circ A[i]
12
             i = i + 1
13
    else X = X \circ B[i]
14
            i = i + 1
15
    return X
```

■ This algorithm is incorrect! (Exercise: fix it)

Complexity of Merge

Merge(A, B)1 i, j = 12 $X = \emptyset$ 3 while $i \leq length(A)$ or $j \leq length(B)$ if $i \leq length(A)$ and (i > length(B) or A[i] < B[i])4 5 $X = X \circ A[i]$ i = i + 16 7 else $X = X \circ B[i]$ 8 i = i + 19 return X

Complexity of Merge

Merge(A, B)1 i, j = 12 $X = \emptyset$ 3 while $i \leq length(A)$ or $j \leq length(B)$ if $i \leq length(A)$ and (i > length(B) or A[i] < B[i])4 $X = X \circ A[i]$ 5 6 i = i + 17 else $X = X \circ B[i]$ 8 i = i + 19 return X

$$T(n) = \Theta(n)$$
Complexity of Merge

Merge(A, B)1 i, j = 12 $X = \emptyset$ 3 while $i \leq length(A)$ or $j \leq length(B)$ if $i \leq length(A)$ and (i > length(B) or A[i] < B[i])4 $X = X \circ A[i]$ 5 6 i = i + 17 else $X = X \circ B[i]$ 8 i = i + 19 return X

$$T(n) = \Theta(n)$$

Can we do better?

Complexity of Merge

Merge(A, B)1 i, j = 12 $X = \emptyset$ 3 while $i \leq length(A)$ or $j \leq length(B)$ if $i \leq length(A)$ and (i > length(B) or A[i] < B[i])4 $X = X \circ A[i]$ 5 6 i = i + 17 else $X = X \circ B[i]$ 8 i = i + 19 return X

$$T(n) = \Theta(n)$$

Can we do better? No!

Complexity of Merge

Merge(A, B)1 i, j = 12 $X = \emptyset$ 3 while $i \leq length(A)$ or $j \leq length(B)$ if $i \leq length(A)$ and (i > length(B) or A[i] < B[i])4 $X = X \circ A[i]$ 5 6 i = i + 1else $X = X \circ B[i]$ 7 8 i = i + 19 return X

$$T(n) = \Theta(n)$$

Can we do better? No!

we have to output n = length(A) + length(B) elements

- So now we have a *linear-complexity* merge procedure
 - merges two sorted sequences
 - produces a sorted sequence

- So now we have a *linear-complexity* merge procedure
 - merges two sorted sequences
 - produces a sorted sequence

Perhaps we could use it to implement a sort algorithm

- So now we have a *linear-complexity* merge procedure
 - merges two sorted sequences
 - produces a sorted sequence
- Perhaps we could use it to implement a sort algorithm

Idea

- use a variant of **Merge** that outputs *all* elements of its input sequences
 - i.e., without removing duplicates
- assume that two parts, $A_L \circ A_R = A$, and that A_L and A_R are sorted

- So now we have a *linear-complexity* merge procedure
 - merges two sorted sequences
 - produces a sorted sequence
- Perhaps we could use it to implement a sort algorithm

Idea

- use a variant of **Merge** that outputs *all* elements of its input sequences
 - i.e., without removing duplicates
- assume that two parts, $A_L \circ A_R = A$, and that A_L and A_R are sorted
- use Merge to combine A_L and A_R into a sorted sequence

- So now we have a *linear-complexity* merge procedure
 - merges two sorted sequences
 - produces a sorted sequence
- Perhaps we could use it to implement a sort algorithm

Idea

- use a variant of **Merge** that outputs *all* elements of its input sequences
 - i.e., without removing duplicates
- assume that two parts, $A_L \circ A_R = A$, and that A_L and A_R are sorted
- ▶ use **Merge** to combine *A*_L and *A*_B into a sorted sequence
- this suggests a recursive algorithm

$\begin{aligned} & \textbf{MergeSort}(A) \\ & 1 \quad \textbf{if } length(A) == 1 \\ & 2 \qquad \textbf{return } A \\ & 3 \quad m = \lfloor length(A)/2 \rfloor \\ & 4 \quad A_L = \textbf{MergeSort}(A[1 \dots m]) \\ & 5 \quad A_R = \textbf{MergeSort}(A[m+1 \dots length(A)]) \\ & 6 \quad \textbf{return Merge}(A_L, A_R) \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{aligned} & \textbf{MergeSort}(A) \\ & 1 \quad \textbf{if } length(A) == 1 \\ & 2 \qquad \textbf{return } A \\ & 3 \quad m = \lfloor length(A)/2 \rfloor \\ & 4 \quad A_L = \textbf{MergeSort}(A[1 \dots m]) \\ & 5 \quad A_R = \textbf{MergeSort}(A[m+1 \dots length(A)]) \\ & 6 \quad \textbf{return Merge}(A_L, A_R) \end{aligned}$

The complexity of **MergeSort** is

 $\begin{aligned} & \textbf{MergeSort}(A) \\ 1 \quad & \textbf{if } length(A) == 1 \\ 2 \qquad & \textbf{return } A \\ 3 \quad & m = \lfloor length(A)/2 \rfloor \\ 4 \quad & A_L = \textbf{MergeSort}(A[1 \dots m]) \\ 5 \quad & A_R = \textbf{MergeSort}(A[m+1 \dots length(A)]) \\ 6 \quad & \textbf{return Merge}(A_L, A_R) \end{aligned}$

The complexity of **MergeSort** is

T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)

 $\begin{aligned} & \textbf{MergeSort}(A) \\ 1 \quad & \textbf{if } length(A) == 1 \\ 2 \qquad & \textbf{return } A \\ 3 \quad & m = \lfloor length(A)/2 \rfloor \\ 4 \quad & A_L = \textbf{MergeSort}(A[1 \dots m]) \\ 5 \quad & A_R = \textbf{MergeSort}(A[m+1 \dots length(A)]) \\ 6 \quad & \textbf{return Merge}(A_L, A_R) \end{aligned}$

The complexity of **MergeSort** is

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$$
$$T(n) = O(n \log n)$$

Divide and Conquer

MergeSort exemplifies the *divide and conquer* strategy

Divide and Conquer

MergeSort exemplifies the *divide and conquer* strategy

■ *General strategy:* given a problem *P* on input data *A*

- *divide* the input A into parts A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k with $|A_i| < |A| = n$
- solve problem P for the individual k parts
- *combine* the partial solutions to obtain the solution for *A*

Divide and Conquer

MergeSort exemplifies the *divide and conquer* strategy

■ *General strategy:* given a problem *P* on input data *A*

- *divide* the input A into parts A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k with $|A_i| < |A| = n$
- solve problem P for the individual k parts
- **combine** the partial solutions to obtain the solution for **A**

Complexity analysis

$$T(n) = T_{\text{divide}} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} T(|A_i|) + T_{\text{combine}}$$

we will analyze this formula another time...





Again, this algorithm is a bit incorrect (Exercise: Fix it.)



Again, this algorithm is a bit incorrect (Exercise: Fix it.)

■ The complexity of **MergeR** is



Again, this algorithm is a bit incorrect (Exercise: Fix it.)

■ The complexity of **MergeR** is

$$T(n) = C_1 + T(n-1)$$



Again, this algorithm is a bit incorrect (Exercise: Fix it.)

■ The complexity of **MergeR** is

$$T(n) = C_1 + T(n-1) = C_1 n$$



Again, this algorithm is a bit incorrect (Exercise: Fix it.)

■ The complexity of **MergeR** is

$$T(n) = C_1 + T(n-1) = C_1 n = O(n)$$

Can we do better?



Again, this algorithm is a bit incorrect (Exercise: Fix it.)

■ The complexity of **MergeR** is

$$T(n) = C_1 + T(n-1) = C_1 n = O(n)$$

Can we do better? No! (We knew that already)

Going back to multiplication...

Going back to multiplication...

$$x = X_L$$
 X_R and $y = Y_L$ Y_R

Going back to multiplication...

$$x = X_L$$
 X_R and $y = Y_L$ Y_R

which means $x = 2^{\ell/2} x_L + x_R$ and $y = 2^{\ell/2} y_L + y_R$, so...

$$xy = (2^{\ell/2}x_L + x_R)(2^{\ell/2}y_L + y_R)$$

= $2^{\ell}x_Ly_L + 2^{\ell/2}(x_Ly_R + x_Ry_L) + x_Ry_R$

we reduced the problem of multiplying two numbers of ℓ bits into the problem of multiplying *four* numbers of $\ell/2$ bits...

Going back to multiplication...

$$x = X_L$$
 X_R and $y = Y_L$ Y_R

which means $x = 2^{\ell/2} x_L + x_R$ and $y = 2^{\ell/2} y_L + y_R$, so...

$$xy = (2^{\ell/2}x_L + x_R)(2^{\ell/2}y_L + y_R)$$

= $2^{\ell}x_Ly_L + 2^{\ell/2}(x_Ly_R + x_Ry_L) + x_Ry_R$

we reduced the problem of multiplying two numbers of ℓ bits into the problem of multiplying *four* numbers of $\ell/2$ bits...

$$T(\ell) = 4T(\ell/2) + O(\ell)$$

Going back to multiplication...

$$x = X_L$$
 X_R and $y = Y_L$ Y_R

which means $x = 2^{\ell/2} x_L + x_R$ and $y = 2^{\ell/2} y_L + y_R$, so...

$$xy = (2^{\ell/2}x_L + x_R)(2^{\ell/2}y_L + y_R)$$

= $2^{\ell}x_Ly_L + 2^{\ell/2}(x_Ly_R + x_Ry_L) + x_Ry_R$

we reduced the problem of multiplying two numbers of ℓ bits into the problem of multiplying *four* numbers of $\ell/2$ bits...

$$T(\ell) = 4T(\ell/2) + O(\ell)$$
$$T(\ell) = \Theta(\ell^2)$$

Again, we have

$$xy = (2^{\ell/2}x_L + x_R)(2^{\ell/2}y_L + y_R)$$

= $2^{\ell}x_Ly_L + 2^{\ell/2}(x_Ly_R + x_Ry_L) + x_Ry_R$

Again, we have

$$xy = (2^{\ell/2}x_L + x_R)(2^{\ell/2}y_L + y_R)$$

= $2^{\ell}x_Ly_L + 2^{\ell/2}(x_Ly_R + x_Ry_L) + x_Ry_R$

but notice that $x_L y_R + x_R y_L = (x_L + x_R)(y_R + y_L) - x_L y_L - x_R y_R$, so

Again, we have

$$xy = (2^{\ell/2}x_L + x_R)(2^{\ell/2}y_L + y_R)$$

= $2^{\ell}x_Ly_L + 2^{\ell/2}(x_Ly_R + x_Ry_L) + x_Ry_R$

but notice that $x_L y_R + x_R y_L = (x_L + x_R)(y_R + y_L) - x_L y_L - x_R y_R$, so

$$xy = 2^{\ell} x_L y_L + 2^{\ell/2} ((x_L + x_R)(y_R + y_L) - x_L y_L - x_R y_R) + x_R y_R$$

Again, we have

$$xy = (2^{\ell/2}x_L + x_R)(2^{\ell/2}y_L + y_R)$$

= $2^{\ell}x_Ly_L + 2^{\ell/2}(x_Ly_R + x_Ry_L) + x_Ry_R$

but notice that $x_L y_R + x_R y_L = (x_L + x_R)(y_R + y_L) - x_L y_L - x_R y_R$, so

$$xy = 2^{\ell} x_L y_L + 2^{\ell/2} ((x_L + x_R)(y_R + y_L) - x_L y_L - x_R y_R) + x_R y_R$$

Only 3 multiplications: $x_L y_L$, $(x_L + x_R)(y_R + y_L)$, and $x_R y_R$

Again, we have

$$xy = (2^{\ell/2}x_L + x_R)(2^{\ell/2}y_L + y_R)$$

= $2^{\ell}x_Ly_L + 2^{\ell/2}(x_Ly_R + x_Ry_L) + x_Ry_R$

but notice that $x_L y_R + x_R y_L = (x_L + x_R)(y_R + y_L) - x_L y_L - x_R y_R$, so

$$xy = 2^{\ell} x_L y_L + 2^{\ell/2} ((x_L + x_R)(y_R + y_L) - x_L y_L - x_R y_R) + x_R y_R$$

Only 3 multiplications: $x_L y_L$, $(x_L + x_R)(y_R + y_L)$, and $x_R y_R$

 $T(\ell) = \mathbf{3}T(\ell/2) + O(\ell)$

Again, we have

$$xy = (2^{\ell/2}x_L + x_R)(2^{\ell/2}y_L + y_R)$$

= $2^{\ell}x_Ly_L + 2^{\ell/2}(x_Ly_R + x_Ry_L) + x_Ry_R$

but notice that $x_L y_R + x_R y_L = (x_L + x_R)(y_R + y_L) - x_L y_L - x_R y_R$, so

$$xy = 2^{\ell} x_L y_L + 2^{\ell/2} ((x_L + x_R)(y_R + y_L) - x_L y_L - x_R y_R) + x_R y_R$$

Only 3 multiplications: $x_L y_L$, $(x_L + x_R)(y_R + y_L)$, and $x_R y_R$

$$T(\ell) = 3T(\ell/2) + O(\ell)$$

which, as we will see, leads to a much better complexity

$$T(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = O(\boldsymbol{\ell}^{\log_2 3}) = O(\boldsymbol{\ell}^{1.59})$$
The *median* of a sequence A is a value $m \in A$ such that half the values in A are smaller than m and half are bigger than m

The *median* of a sequence A is a value $m \in A$ such that half the values in A are smaller than m and half are bigger than m

• e.g., what is the median of $A = \langle 2, 36, 5, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20, 5, 4, 1 \rangle$?

The *median* of a sequence A is a value $m \in A$ such that half the values in A are smaller than m and half are bigger than m

• e.g., what is the median of $A = \langle 2, 36, 5, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20, 5, 4, 1 \rangle$?

■ Idea: first sort, then pick the element in the middle

SimpleMedian(A) 1 X = MergeSort(A) 2 return X[[length(A)/2]]

The *median* of a sequence A is a value $m \in A$ such that half the values in A are smaller than m and half are bigger than m

• e.g., what is the median of $A = \langle 2, 36, 5, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20, 5, 4, 1 \rangle$?

■ Idea: first sort, then pick the element in the middle

SimpleMedian(A) 1 X = MergeSort(A) 2 return X[[length(A)/2]]

Is it correct?

The *median* of a sequence A is a value $m \in A$ such that half the values in A are smaller than m and half are bigger than m

• e.g., what is the median of $A = \langle 2, 36, 5, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20, 5, 4, 1 \rangle$?

■ Idea: first sort, then pick the element in the middle

SimpleMedian(A) 1 X = MergeSort(A) 2 return X[[length(A)/2]]

Is it correct? Yes

The *median* of a sequence A is a value $m \in A$ such that half the values in A are smaller than m and half are bigger than m

• e.g., what is the median of $A = \langle 2, 36, 5, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20, 5, 4, 1 \rangle$?

■ Idea: first sort, then pick the element in the middle

SimpleMedian(A) 1 X = MergeSort(A) 2 return X[[length(A)/2]]

Is it correct? Yes

How long does it take?

The *median* of a sequence A is a value $m \in A$ such that half the values in A are smaller than m and half are bigger than m

• e.g., what is the median of $A = \langle 2, 36, 5, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20, 5, 4, 1 \rangle$?

■ Idea: first sort, then pick the element in the middle

SimpleMedian(A) 1 X = MergeSort(A) 2 return X[[length(A)/2]]

Is it correct? Yes

• How long does it take? $T(n) = T_{MergeSort}(n) = O(n \log n)$

The *median* of a sequence A is a value $m \in A$ such that half the values in A are smaller than m and half are bigger than m

▶ e.g., what is the median of *A* = (2, 36, 5, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20, 5, 4, 1)?

■ Idea: first sort, then pick the element in the middle

SimpleMedian(A) 1 X = MergeSort(A) 2 return X[[length(A)/2]]

Is it correct? Yes

• How long does it take? $T(n) = T_{MergeSort}(n) = O(n \log n)$

Can we do better?

The *median* of a sequence A is a value $m \in A$ such that half the values in A are smaller than m and half are bigger than m

• e.g., what is the median of $A = \langle 2, 36, 5, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20, 5, 4, 1 \rangle$?

■ Idea: first sort, then pick the element in the middle

SimpleMedian(A) 1 X = MergeSort(A) 2 return X[[length(A)/2]]

Is it correct? Yes

• How long does it take? $T(n) = T_{MergeSort}(n) = O(n \log n)$

Can we do better? Let's try *divide-and-conquer*...

The *median* of a sequence A is a value $m \in A$ such that half the values in A are less than or equal to m

- The *median* of a sequence A is a value $m \in A$ such that half the values in A are less than or equal to m
- Generalizating, the *k-smallest* element of a sequence A is a value $v \in A$ such that exactly k elements of A are less than or equal to v

- The *median* of a sequence A is a value $m \in A$ such that half the values in A are less than or equal to m
- Generalizating, the *k-smallest* element of a sequence A is a value v ∈ A such that exactly k elements of A are less than or equal to v
 E.g.,
 - for k = 1, the minimum of A

- The *median* of a sequence A is a value $m \in A$ such that half the values in A are less than or equal to m
- Generalizating, the *k-smallest* element of a sequence A is a value v ∈ A such that exactly k elements of A are less than or equal to v E.g.,
 - for k = 1, the minimum of A
 - for $k = \lfloor |A|/2 \rfloor$, the median of A

- The *median* of a sequence A is a value $m \in A$ such that half the values in A are less than or equal to m
- Generalizating, the *k-smallest* element of a sequence A is a value v ∈ A such that exactly k elements of A are less than or equal to v E.g.,
 - for k = 1, the minimum of A
 - for $k = \lfloor |A|/2 \rfloor$, the median of A
 - what is the *6th smallest* element of $A = \langle 2, 36, 5, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20, 5, 4, 1 \rangle$?

- The *median* of a sequence A is a value $m \in A$ such that half the values in A are less than or equal to m
- Generalizating, the *k-smallest* element of a sequence A is a value v ∈ A such that exactly k elements of A are less than or equal to v E.g.,
 - for k = 1, the minimum of A
 - for $k = \lfloor |A|/2 \rfloor$, the median of A
 - ▶ what is the 6th smallest element of A = (2, 36, 5, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20, 5, 4, 1)? the 6th smallest element of A—a.k.a. select(A, 6)—is 8

- Idea: we split the sequence A in three parts based on a *chosen value* $v \in A$
 - A_L contains the set of elements that are less than v
 - A_v contains the set of elements that are *equal to v*
 - ► *A_R* contains the set of elements that are *greater then v*

- Idea: we split the sequence A in three parts based on a *chosen value* $v \in A$
 - A_L contains the set of elements that are less than v
 - A_v contains the set of elements that are *equal to v*
 - A_R contains the set of elements that are greater then v

E.g., $A = \langle 2, 36, 5, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20, 5, 4, 1 \rangle$ and we must compute the 7th smallest value in A

Idea: we split the sequence A in three parts based on a *chosen value* $v \in A$

- A_L contains the set of elements that are less than v
- A_v contains the set of elements that are *equal to v*
- ► *A_R* contains the set of elements that are *greater then v*

Idea: we split the sequence A in three parts based on a *chosen value* $v \in A$

- A_L contains the set of elements that are less than v
- A_v contains the set of elements that are *equal to v*
- ► *A_R* contains the set of elements that are *greater then v*

$$A_L = \langle 2, 4, 1 \rangle$$

Idea: we split the sequence A in three parts based on a *chosen value* $v \in A$

- A_L contains the set of elements that are less than v
- A_v contains the set of elements that are *equal to v*
- ► *A_R* contains the set of elements that are *greater then v*

$$A_L = \langle 2, 4, 1 \rangle$$
 $A_v = \langle 5, 5 \rangle$

Idea: we split the sequence A in three parts based on a *chosen value* $v \in A$

- A_L contains the set of elements that are less than v
- A_v contains the set of elements that are *equal to v*
- ► *A_R* contains the set of elements that are *greater then v*

$$A_L = \langle 2, 4, 1 \rangle$$
 $A_v = \langle 5, 5 \rangle$ $A_R = \langle 36, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20 \rangle$

Idea: we split the sequence A in three parts based on a *chosen value* $v \in A$

- A_L contains the set of elements that are less than v
- A_v contains the set of elements that are *equal to v*
- ► *A_R* contains the set of elements that are *greater then v*

E.g., $A = \langle 2, 36, 5, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20, 5, 4, 1 \rangle$ and we must compute the 7th smallest value in Awe pick a splitting value, say v = 5

$$A_L = \langle 2, 4, 1 \rangle$$
 $A_v = \langle 5, 5 \rangle$ $A_R = \langle 36, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20 \rangle$

Now, where is the 7th smallest value of A?

Idea: we split the sequence A in three parts based on a *chosen value* $v \in A$

- A_L contains the set of elements that are less than v
- A_v contains the set of elements that are *equal to v*
- ► *A_R* contains the set of elements that are *greater then v*

E.g., $A = \langle 2, 36, 5, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20, 5, 4, 1 \rangle$ and we must compute the 7th smallest value in Awe pick a splitting value, say v = 5

$$A_L = \langle 2, 4, 1 \rangle$$
 $A_v = \langle 5, 5 \rangle$ $A_R = \langle 36, 21, 8, 13, 11, 20 \rangle$

Now, where is the 7th smallest value of *A*? *It is the 2nd smallest value of A*_{*R*}

We use *select*(*A*, *k*) to denote the k-smallest element of *A*

$$select(A, k) = \begin{cases} select(A_{L}, k) & \text{if } k \le |A_{L}| \\ v & \text{if } |A_{L}| < k \le |A_{L}| + |A_{v}| \\ select(A_{R}, k - |A_{L}| - |A_{v}|) & \text{if } k > |A_{L}| + |A_{v}| \end{cases}$$

We use *select*(*A*, *k*) to denote the k-smallest element of *A*

$$select(A, k) = \begin{cases} select(A_{L}, k) & \text{if } k \le |A_{L}| \\ v & \text{if } |A_{L}| < k \le |A_{L}| + |A_{v}| \\ select(A_{R}, k - |A_{L}| - |A_{v}|) & \text{if } k > |A_{L}| + |A_{v}| \end{cases}$$

Computing A_L , A_v , and A_R takes O(n) steps

We use *select*(*A*, *k*) to denote the k-smallest element of *A*

$$select(A, k) = \begin{cases} select(A_{L}, k) & \text{if } k \le |A_{L}| \\ v & \text{if } |A_{L}| < k \le |A_{L}| + |A_{v}| \\ select(A_{R}, k - |A_{L}| - |A_{v}|) & \text{if } k > |A_{L}| + |A_{v}| \end{cases}$$

Computing A_L , A_v , and A_R takes O(n) steps

How do we pick *v*?

We use *select*(*A*, *k*) to denote the k-smallest element of *A*

$$select(A, k) = \begin{cases} select(A_{L}, k) & \text{if } k \le |A_{L}| \\ v & \text{if } |A_{L}| < k \le |A_{L}| + |A_{v}| \\ select(A_{R}, k - |A_{L}| - |A_{v}|) & \text{if } k > |A_{L}| + |A_{v}| \end{cases}$$

- Computing A_L , A_v , and A_R takes O(n) steps
- How do we pick *v*?
- Ideally, we should pick v so as to obtain |A_L| ≈ |A_R| ≈ |A|/2
 so, ideally we should pick v = median(A), but...

We use *select*(*A*, *k*) to denote the k-smallest element of *A*

$$select(A, k) = \begin{cases} select(A_{L}, k) & \text{if } k \le |A_{L}| \\ v & \text{if } |A_{L}| < k \le |A_{L}| + |A_{v}| \\ select(A_{R}, k - |A_{L}| - |A_{v}|) & \text{if } k > |A_{L}| + |A_{v}| \end{cases}$$

Computing A_L , A_v , and A_R takes O(n) steps

How do we pick *v*?

• Ideally, we should pick v so as to obtain $|A_L| \approx |A_R| \approx |A|/2$

• so, ideally we should pick v = median(A), but...

• We pick a random element of A

Selection Algorithm

Selection(A, k) v = A[random(1...|A|)]1 2 $A_I, A_V, A_B = \emptyset$ 3 **for** i = 1 **to** |A|if A[i] < v4 5 $A_i = A_i \cup A[i]$ elseif A[i] == v6 7 $A_{\nu} = A_{\nu} \cup A[i]$ 8 else $A_B = A_B \cup A[i]$ 9 if $k \leq |A_l|$ 10 return Selection (A_l, k) elseif $k > |A_L| + |A_v|$ 11 return Selection $(A_B, k - |A_I| - |A_v|)$ 12 13 else return v