

On the norms of the Dubuc–Deslauriers subdivision schemes

Chongyang Deng^{*,a}, Kai Hormann^b, Zhifeng Zhang^a

^aCollege of Science, Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou 310018, China

^bFaculty of Informatics, Università della Svizzera italiana, 6904 Lugano, Switzerland

Abstract

Conti et al. (2012, Remark 3.4) conjecture that the norm of the interpolatory $2n$ -point Dubuc–Deslauriers subdivision scheme is bounded from above by 4 for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We disprove their conjecture by showing that the norm grows logarithmically in n and therefore diverges as n increases.

Key words: subdivision, interpolatory Dubuc–Deslauriers schemes

1. Introduction

Let $S_{\mathbf{a}}$ be a univariate binary subdivision scheme with mask $\mathbf{a} = (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

The norm of $S_{\mathbf{a}}$ is given by

$$\|S_{\mathbf{a}}\| = \max \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_{2i}|, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_{2i+1}| \right\},$$

and if $S_{\mathbf{a}}$ is convergent, then $\|S_{\mathbf{a}}\| \geq 1$, due to the well-known necessary convergence condition $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{2i} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{2i+1} = 1$ (Dyn, 1992). If the scheme is also interpolatory with $a_{2i} = \delta_{i,0}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\|S_{\mathbf{a}}\| = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_{2i+1}|. \quad (1)$$

*Corresponding author

Email address: dcy@hdu.edu.cn (Chongyang Deng)

7 For example, this is the case for the family of interpolatory $2n$ -point
8 Dubuc–Deslauriers schemes $S_{\mathbf{a}^{[n]}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which are based on the evaluation
9 of locally interpolating polynomials of degree $2n - 1$ (Deslauriers and Dubuc,
10 1989). Conti et al. (2012, Remark 3.4) conjecture that

$$\|S_{\mathbf{a}^{[n]}}\| < 4$$

11 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, after having observed the slow growth of $\|S_{\mathbf{a}^{[n]}}\|$ for $n \leq 2000$.
12 In Theorem 1 we disprove this conjecture by showing that the norm of the $2n$ -
13 point scheme grows logarithmically in n , which implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_{\mathbf{a}^{[n]}}\| = \infty$
14 and also explains the slow growth.

15 2. Bounding the norms of the Dubuc–Deslauriers schemes

16 Using the explicit formula for the non-zero coefficients of the $2n$ -point
17 scheme with positive odd indices given by de Villiers et al. (2003),

$$a_{2i-1}^{[n]} = \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{2^{4n-1}} \binom{2n}{n} \binom{2n}{n+i} \frac{n+i}{2i-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

we first observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{2i-1}^{[n+1]}| - |a_{2i-1}^{[n]}| &= \frac{1}{2^{4n+1}} \binom{2n}{n} \binom{2n}{n+i} \left[\frac{(2n+1)^2}{(n+1-i)(2i-1)} - \frac{4(n+i)}{2i-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{4n+1}} \binom{2n}{n} \binom{2n}{n+i} \frac{(n+i) - (n+1-i)}{n+1-i} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{4n+1}} \binom{2n}{n} \left[\binom{2n}{n+i-1} - \binom{2n}{n+i} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

18 Denoting the sum of the absolute values of these coefficients by

$$\sigma_n = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{2i-1}^{[n]}|,$$

19 we then have

$$\delta_n = \sigma_{n+1} - \sigma_n = \frac{1}{2^{4n+1}} \binom{2n}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \left[\binom{2n}{n+i-1} - \binom{2n}{n+i} \right] = \frac{1}{2^{4n+1}} \binom{2n}{n}^2.$$

20 By (1) and the symmetry of the coefficients of the $2n$ -point schemes in the
 21 sense that $a_i^{[n]} = a_{-i}^{[n]}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we finally get

$$\|S_{\mathbf{a}^{[n]}}\| = 2\sigma_n = 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \delta_k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2)$$

22 which we now bound from below and from above.

23 **Theorem 1.** *The norm of the $2n$ -point Dubuc–Deslauriers scheme satisfies*

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} \ln n \leq \|S_{\mathbf{a}^{[n]}}\| \leq \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln n \quad (3)$$

24 for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

25 *Proof.* First observe that

$$\frac{1}{2^{2n}} \binom{2n}{n} = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{2k-1}{2k}.$$

26 Then, on the one hand,

$$2\delta_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{(2k-1)^2}{(2k)^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\prod_{k=2}^n \underbrace{\frac{(2k-1)^2}{(2k-2)(2k)}}_{\geq 1} \right) \frac{1}{2n} \geq \frac{1}{4n},$$

27 and on the other hand,

$$2\delta_n = \frac{2n-1}{4n^2} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \underbrace{\frac{(2k-1)(2k+1)}{(2k)^2}}_{\leq 1} \leq \frac{2n-1}{4n^2} \leq \frac{1}{2n}.$$

28 The bounds in (3) then follow from (2) and the well-known bounds

$$\ln(n+1) < \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} < 1 + \ln n$$

29 for the n -th partial sum of the harmonic series. □

30 While it is certainly possible, but beyond the scope of this paper, to get
31 tighter bounds¹, Theorem 1 shows that $\|S_{\mathbf{a}^{[n]}}\|$ grows logarithmically in n
32 and is unbounded from above. Using *Maxima* (2012), we further found that
33 $\|S_{\mathbf{a}^{[n]}}\| \geq 4$ for $n \geq 10063$.

34 Acknowledgement

35 This work is supported by NSFC (61003194).

36 References

- 37 C. Conti, N. Dyn, and L. Romani. Interpolatory blending net subdivision
38 schemes of Dubuc–Deslauriers type. *Computer Aided Geometric Design*,
39 29(9):722–735, 2012.
- 40 J. M. de Villiers, K. M. Goosen, and B. M. Herbst. Dubuc–Deslauriers
41 subdivision for finite sequences and interpolation wavelets on an interval.
42 *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 35(2):423–452, 2003.
- 43 G. Deslauriers and S. Dubuc. Symmetric iterative interpolation processes.
44 *Constructive Approximation*, 5(1):49–68, 1989.
- 45 N. Dyn. Subdivision schemes in computer-aided geometric design. In
46 W. Light, editor, *Advances in numerical analysis*, volume II, pages 36–
47 104. Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.

¹For example, as pointed out by one of the reviewers, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2n\delta_n) = 1/\pi$, by Wallis’ product, which shows that $\|S_{\mathbf{a}^{[n]}}\|$ grows as $\ln(n)/\pi$ asymptotically.

48 Maxima. A computer algebra system. Version 5.27.0, 2012.
49 <http://maxima.sourceforge.net/>.