# **Peer-To-Peer Applications**

Antonio Carzaniga

Faculty of Informatics Università della Svizzera italiana

October 31, 2016

### **Outline**

- Transferring big files
  - client-server vs. peer-to-peer
- BitTorrent
- Peer-to-peer search
- Miscellaneous

■ How long does it take to transfer a big file?

■ How long does it take to transfer a *big* file?

### **Example:**

```
size = 6Gb (e.g., a movie file)

rate = 2Mb/s

T = ?
```

■ How long does it take to transfer a *big* file?

### **Example:**

```
size = 6Gb (e.g., a movie file)

rate = 2Mb/s

T = 3000s = 50min
```

■ How long does it take to transfer a *big* file?

#### **Example:**

$$size = 6Gb$$
 (e.g., a movie file)  
 $rate = 2Mb/s$   
 $T = 3000s = 50min$ 

In general:

$$T = \frac{size}{rate}$$

(we ignore the O(1) propagation delay, which is marginal for large files)

■ How long does it take to transfer a *big* file?

#### **Example:**


$$size = 6Gb$$
 (e.g., a movie file)  
 $rate = 2Mb/s$   
 $T = 3000s = 50min$ 


In general:


$$T = \frac{size}{rate}$$


(we ignore the O(1) propagation delay, which is marginal for large files)

- How long does it take to transfer a *big* and *very popular* file?
  - N clients want the file









Let 
$$F =$$
file size,  $N =$ number of clients


$$T_{CS} \ge \max\left(\frac{NF}{u_s}, \frac{F}{d_{min}}\right)$$

1. Split the file into blocks


- 1. Split the file into blocks
- 2. The server sends different blocks to different clients

- 1. Split the file into blocks
- 2. The server sends different blocks to different clients
- 3. The clients exchange blocks using "peer-to-peer" connections


## **Transfer Time with P2P Connections**



## **Transfer Time with P2P Connections**



## **Transfer Time with P2P Connections**



$$T_{P2P} \ge \max\left(\frac{F}{u_s}, \frac{F}{d_{min}}, \frac{NF}{u_s + \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i}\right)$$

■ Transfer time is *at least* 

$$T_{P2P} \ge \max\left(\frac{F}{u_s}, \frac{F}{d_{min}}, \frac{NF}{u_s + \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i}\right)$$

■ Transfer time is at least

$$T_{P2P} \ge \max\left(\frac{F}{u_s}, \frac{F}{d_{min}}, \frac{NF}{u_s + \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i}\right)$$

Assuming  $u_1 = u_2 = \cdots = u_N = u_p$ 

$$T_{P2P} \ge \max\left(\frac{F}{u_s}, \frac{F}{d_{min}}, \frac{F}{u_s/N + u_n}\right)$$

■ Transfer time is *at least* 

$$T_{P2P} \ge \max\left(\frac{F}{u_s}, \frac{F}{d_{min}}, \frac{NF}{u_s + \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i}\right)$$

Assuming  $u_1 = u_2 = \cdots = u_N = u_p$ 

$$T_{P2P} \ge \max\left(\frac{F}{u_s}, \frac{F}{d_{min}}, \frac{F}{u_s/N + u_p}\right)$$

■ And for large peer groups ( $N \gg 1$ )

$$T_{P2P} \ge \max\left(\frac{F}{u_s}, \frac{F}{d_{min}}, \frac{F}{u_p}\right)$$

■ Transfer time is at least

$$T_{P2P} \ge \max\left(\frac{F}{u_s}, \frac{F}{d_{min}}, \frac{NF}{u_s + \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i}\right)$$

Assuming  $u_1 = u_2 = \cdots = u_N = u_p$ 

$$T_{P2P} \ge \max\left(\frac{F}{u_s}, \frac{F}{d_{min}}, \frac{F}{u_s/N + u_p}\right)$$

■ And for large peer groups ( $N \gg 1$ )

$$T_{P2P} \ge \max\left(\frac{F}{\mu_c}, \frac{F}{d_{min}}, \frac{F}{\mu_c}\right)$$

The transfer time does not depend on the number of receivers!



## **BitTorrent: Tracker and Startup**

■ A *tracker* keeps track of which peers participate in the "torrent"

## **BitTorrent: Tracker and Startup**

- A tracker keeps track of which peers participate in the "torrent"
  - at startup, Alice requests a list of peers from the tracker
  - then, Alice tries to establish direct connections with her "neighbor peers"
  - periodically, Alice tells the tracker that she is still participating in the torrent

## BitTorrent: Tracker and Startup

- A tracker keeps track of which peers participate in the "torrent"
  - at startup, Alice requests a list of peers from the tracker
  - then, Alice tries to establish direct connections with her "neighbor peers"
  - periodically, Alice tells the tracker that she is still participating in the torrent
- The torrent (one or more files) is split into *equal-size chunks* 
  - peers accumulate chunks and keep track of the chunks they have
  - it might be that no single peer has all the chunks, as long as all the chunks are available from some peer



■ Neigboring peers exchange their lists of chunks and eventually exchange chunks

- Neigboring peers exchange their lists of chunks and eventually exchange chunks
  - periodically, Alice requests the list of chunks of her peers

- Neighboring peers exchange their lists of chunks and eventually exchange chunks
  - periodically, Alice requests the list of chunks of her peers
  - Alice figures who has what chunks, and therefore requests some chunks from her neighbors
    - Alice requests the rarest chunk first (why?)

- Neigboring peers exchange their lists of chunks and eventually exchange chunks
  - periodically, Alice requests the list of chunks of her peers
  - Alice figures who has what chunks, and therefore requests some chunks from her neighbors
    - Alice requests the rarest chunk first (why?)
  - Alice also receives requests from her neighbors

- Neighboring peers exchange their lists of chunks and eventually exchange chunks
  - periodically, Alice requests the list of chunks of her peers
  - Alice figures who has what chunks, and therefore requests some chunks from her neighbors
    - Alice requests the rarest chunk first (why?)
  - Alice also receives requests from her neighbors
    - Alice gives priority to neighbors that share the most (highest rate): she sends her chunks to the top four (why?)

- Neighboring peers exchange their lists of chunks and eventually exchange chunks
  - periodically, Alice requests the list of chunks of her peers
  - Alice figures who has what chunks, and therefore requests some chunks from her neighbors
    - Alice requests the rarest chunk first (why?)
  - Alice also receives requests from her neighbors
    - Alice gives priority to neighbors that share the most (highest rate): she sends her chunks to the top four (why?)
    - periodically, Alice also selects another trading partner at random (why?)

■ How do you find files in a file-sharing network?

- How do you find files in a file-sharing network?
- Centralized index (i.e., client-server)
  - typically maps objects (e.g., files, nicknames) to IP addresses
  - not too good: performance bottleneck, single point of failure, etc.

- How do you find files in a file-sharing network?
- Centralized index (i.e., client-server)
  - typically maps objects (e.g., files, nicknames) to IP addresses
  - not too good: performance bottleneck, single point of failure, etc.
- Distributed peer-to-peer search with query flooding

- How do you find files in a file-sharing network?
- Centralized index (i.e., client-server)
  - typically maps objects (e.g., files, nicknames) to IP addresses
  - not too good: performance bottleneck, single point of failure, etc.
- Distributed peer-to-peer search with query flooding
  - not too good (why?)

- How do you find files in a file-sharing network?
- Centralized index (i.e., client-server)
  - typically maps objects (e.g., files, nicknames) to IP addresses
  - not too good: performance bottleneck, single point of failure, etc.
- Distributed peer-to-peer search with query flooding
  - not too good (why?)
  - many variants: limited scope, probabilistic, hierarchical with super-peeers, etc.

- How do you find files in a file-sharing network?
- Centralized index (i.e., client-server)
  - typically maps objects (e.g., files, nicknames) to IP addresses
  - not too good: performance bottleneck, single point of failure, etc.
- Distributed peer-to-peer search with query flooding
  - not too good (why?)
  - many variants: limited scope, probabilistic, hierarchical with super-peeers, etc.
- Distributed peer-to-peer search with structured indexes
  - a.k.a., distributed hash tables (DHTs)

- How do you find files in a file-sharing network?
- Centralized index (i.e., client-server)
  - typically maps objects (e.g., files, nicknames) to IP addresses
  - not too good: performance bottleneck, single point of failure, etc.
- Distributed peer-to-peer search with *query flooding* 
  - not too good (why?)
  - many variants: limited scope, probabilistic, hierarchical with super-peeers, etc.
- Distributed peer-to-peer search with structured indexes
  - a.k.a., distributed hash tables (DHTs)
  - many variants, lots of interesting theoretical and practical developments

■ How does Skype work?

■ How does Skype work? ... or what Skype does not want you to know

- How does Skype work? ... or what Skype does not want you to know
  - **Search:** how does Alice find Bob?
    - peer-to-peer index

- How does Skype work? ... or what Skype does not want you to know
- **Search:** how does Alice find Bob?
  - peer-to-peer index
- Connections: how does Alice connect to Bob?

- How does Skype work? ... or what Skype does not want you to know
- **Search:** how does Alice find Bob?
  - peer-to-peer index
- Connections: how does Alice connect to Bob?
  - direct connections when possible

- How does Skype work? ... or what Skype does not want you to know
- **Search:** how does Alice find Bob?
  - peer-to-peer index
- *Connections:* how does Alice connect to Bob?
  - direct connections when possible
  - indirect connections through a relay "super-peer"

- How does Skype work? ... or what Skype does not want you to know
- **Search:** how does Alice find Bob?
  - peer-to-peer index
- *Connections:* how does Alice connect to Bob?
  - direct connections when possible
  - indirect connections through a relay "super-peer"
- And much more: chat, audio/video codecs, multi-party communication, etc.